Sequencing and Analysis of the Myostatin Gene (GDF-8) in Bubalus bubalis Young Animals to Determine the Existence of Possible Mutations Expressed in Double Musculature Phenotype
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Abstract: Since the 19th century, the presentation of bovines with disproportionate muscle development have been associated with mutations that inhibit the action of the myostatin gene, it is referred to as double muscle mutation, which is common in some European Bos taurus breeds but it is not reported in buffaloes Bubalus bubalis. This study aims to evaluate if the phenotype observed in 6 young buffaloes with disproportionate muscle development has the same myostatin mutation reported in cattle. DNA was obtained from the blood of the animals of the Murrah breed. First, second and third exon was amplified end point PCR; the fragments were sequenced using capillary electrophoresis. Holstein cattle (Bos taurus) was used As control for normal phenotype. The results obtained from the comparison of the sequence of the myostatin gene show that the observed double-muscled phenotype did not show differences from normal controls. Interspecific variation was demonstrated by comparing exons two and three of the gene, finding 12 variations between the Bos taurus and Bubalus bubalis species in the evaluated fragments. It is necessary to study physiology, and the animals to explain the phenotype observed in buffaloes.
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INTRODUCTION

The occurrence of hereditary defects in cattle is estimated worldwide from 0.2% to 3%, and their knowledge depends on the frequency they are studied and described [1]. Congenital anomalies in bovids usually cause abortion or neonatal death leading to considerable reproductive losses [2].

Congenital defects in buffaloes have been diagnosed in Brazil; sometimes this diagnosis is based only on the similarity with diseases already described in cattle, lacking epidemiological, pathological, and molecular studies that identify the defective agent or gene. Besides, diagnostics considering clinical signs only at the moment when the animal is examined, without the accompaniment throughout its development and use techniques that prove the definitive diagnosis.

Excessive muscle development is a hereditary syndrome of several species of mammals caused by the alteration of the expression of a single autosomal gene and its regulatory elements [3]. In cattle, this syndrome is named double musculature (DM), which was described for the first time in 1807 by Culley [4] in the Shorthorn cow breed. After this first report, double musculature syndrome has been reported in many European breeds such as Belgian Blue, Piamontese, Marchigiana, Asturian Valley, Charoláis, Limousine, Maine Anjou [5]. Animals with the syndrome are characterized by a 20% increase in muscle mass, due to generalized skeletal muscle hyperplasia [6], other authors have been described it as muscle hypertrophy [7].

The observed phenotype is caused by a mutation in the myostatin gene, also named growth differentiation factor-8 (GDF8), belonging to the family of β-transforming factor (TFG-β), localized at the bovine chromosome 2 (BTA2) [8]. Myostatin acts as a negative extracellular regulator. It is essential for the proper regulation of skeletal muscle mass [7,9]; it has been demonstrated that inactive myostatin is
responsible for the exaggerated muscle growth characterizes this syndrome [10]. Animals that present this condition are characterized by an increased body musculature, decreased subcutaneous and intramuscular adipose tissue, and smaller organ size [11], which are usually associated with other problems such as decrease of fertility, dystocia, susceptibility to stress, and low viability of the calves [3,12].

The animals that have the mutation have meat with some desirable characteristics: leaner meats, reduced lipid content, less bone, and greater water retention at the muscular level, which results in more tender meat [12]. On the other hand, regarding the effect of the mutation on the meat production industry, there are controversies, especially because of the effect over the animal production system of the problems mentioned above.

In Colombia, in the last five years, there has been information from some breeders that belongs to the Colombian Buffalo Breeders Association (ACB) related to the presentation of DM in buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) based on the phenotype of the animals. However, there are no reports that study this phenotype and also its possible genetic origin. This study aims to analyze if the observed phenotype in young buffaloes corresponds to the same mutation described for DM in cattle, and it is true to analyze the pedigree of the animals looking for carriers of the mutation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Population and Sample Collection

Six buffaloes of (Bubalus bubalis) from the Murrah breed and their crosses with 6 to 15 months, were included according to their phenotypic characteristics: animals compatible with double musculature, mild hypertrophy who presented muscle tremors associated with hypermuscularity, and normal animals as control (Figure 1). An animal of the Holstein breed of the Bos taurus specie was also selected as a control for the amplification of the Myostatin gene. 4 mL of blood was taken directly from the coccygeal vein in an EDTA anticoagulated tube (Vaccutainer Becton Dikiinson, USA), homogenized and kept refrigerated (5°C) [13] and sent to the University of La Salle, molecular biology laboratory for processing.

DNA Processing and Sequencing

All procedures were performed at the molecular genetics’ laboratory of the Universidad de la Salle, Bogotá, Colombia. DNA was extracted using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN Corporation, USA) following manufacturer instructions, and the obtained DNA was kept at -20°C until PCR was performed. First, second and third exon of the myostatin gene was amplified using the primers designed for Bos Taurus previously described by [13], with minor modifications. For the amplification the kit GoTag® Green Master Mix (Promega, Corporation; USA) was used, in a C1000 thermocycler (BIO-RAD, CA, USA, with the following conditions: 4 minutes of denaturalization, and 35 cycles of 1 minute 94°C, 54°C one minute, an extension of 1 minute at 72°C and a final extension of 4 minutes at 72°C [13]. PCR amplification products were electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel. PCR products were sequenced by capillary electrophoresis using ABI3730XI (Applied Biosystem) at Macrogen, Corea. The sequences of each one of the exons 1(AY854495.1), 2(AY854496.1) and 3(AY854497.1) of the individuals were compared with the Bos taurus and Bubalus bubalis whole gene sequence (AF320998), and (DQ091762.1) respectively. Alignment were performed using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor y Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA Version 6).
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RESULTS

Although the amplification protocol of the study of Mota et al. was applied [13], the fusion temperature used in this only allowed the correct amplification of exon 3, due to this, this temperature was modified for exons 1 and 2 for achieving the correct amplification Table 1.

All the obtained fragments have the expected size exon 1 620pb, exon 2 550pb, and exon 3 500pb. Sequencing analysis of the exon 1 was avoided due to technical reasons Figures 2, 3.

Figure 2, 3 of exon 1. Lanes: 1. DNA ladder 100 – 1000 bp; 2. Negative Control (NE1); 3. Affected animal double muscling (aE1); 4. Affected animal mild hypertrophy (bE1); 5. Affected animal, mild hypertrophy (eE1); 6. Suspicious Animal of muscular hypertrophy (fE1); 7. Normal animal (kE1); 8. Normal animal; 9Positive control normal Bos taurus animal (mE1).

DISCUSSION

Marco-Longo et al., studied the presentation of congenital abnormalities from 106 buffaloes in the Rio Grande do Sul region in Brazil from 1978 to 2009 and report that only two cases observed, were diagnosed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Fusion temperature</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Annealing Temperature (Ta)</th>
<th>Final Tm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATTCACTGGTGTGGCAAGTTGTCTCTCAGA</td>
<td>61,6</td>
<td>62,2</td>
<td>67,2</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCCTCTCTCTACATAAAGCCAGCAG</td>
<td>62,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GTTCAAGATTGATGAGGAGGTGGTCG</td>
<td>56,7</td>
<td>54,95</td>
<td>59,95</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATAAGCAGGGAGAATTGTTATT</td>
<td>53,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TGAGTGGAGAGTGGTTTGGG</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td>53,3</td>
<td>58,3</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TCGAATTGGAGGGAAGAGCC</td>
<td>51,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: PCR Products from Exon 2 and Exon 3 of the myostatin gene. Seven simples from exon 2. Lanes: 1. DNA ladder 100 – 1000 bp; 2. Negative Control exón 2 (NE2); 3. Double muscling animal (aE2); 4. Double muscling animal (bE2); 5. Affected animal mild hypertrophy (fE2); 6. Affected animal mild hypertrophy (fE2); 7. Normal phenotype (kE2); 8. Normal phenotype (oE2); 9. Positive control normal Bos taurus animal (mE2); 10. Negative Control (NE3); 3. 11. Double muscling animal (aE3); 4. Double muscling animal (bE3); 5. Affected animal mild hypertrophy (eE3); 6. Affected animal mild hypertrophy; 7. Affected animal mild hypertrophy (kE3); 8. Normal phenotype (oE3); 9. Bos taurus animal (mE3).

Figure 2: PCR Products from Exon 1 of the myostatin gene.

It was found that there is no variation between nucleotides in the exon 2 and exon 3 in all animals studied, as consequence, there is no mutation described in cattle in the analyzed fragments. A total of 8 nucleotide sequences with a total of 476 positions were included, where 100% equality was found among all the analyzed buffaloes.

Based on the reported sequence of myostatin gene from GenBank (DQ091762.1), after the comparison of the exon 2 and exon 3 sequences, 5 variations were found for the exon 2 (C2306T, C2313A, G2375G, A2531G y T2594C) and seven for exon 3 (G4748A, G4750A, C4946T, T5009C, A5056G, T5058C y A5081C).

Table 1: Primer Sequences and PCR Conditions for Xon Amplification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Fusion temperature</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Annealing Temperature (Ta)</th>
<th>Final Tm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ATTCACTGGTGTGGCAAGTTGTCTCTCAGA</td>
<td>61,6</td>
<td>62,2</td>
<td>67,2</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCCTCTCTCTACATAAAGCCAGCAG</td>
<td>62,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GTTCAAGATTGATGAGGAGGTGGTCG</td>
<td>56,7</td>
<td>54,95</td>
<td>59,95</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATAAGCAGGGAGAATTGTTATT</td>
<td>53,2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TGAGTGGAGAGTGGTTTGGG</td>
<td>54,8</td>
<td>53,3</td>
<td>58,3</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TCGAATTGGAGGGAAGAGCC</td>
<td>51,8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
has hereditary myotonia representing the 25% of the abnormalities using histopathological analysis [14].

The analysis if any mutations in the myostatin gene explain the observed muscle hypertrophy compatible with double musculature, in six buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis) comparing the sequences obtained in exons 2 and 3 with the current information available in the literature was unsuccessful.

The fusion temperatures for exons 1 and 2 were standardized; therefore, all the exons were successfully amplified (Table 1). At the sequencing level, it was not possible to analyze the sequence of exon 1 due to the presence of overlapping peaks in the Electropherogram. As Grobet et al., reported [10] the mutations that directly affect the expression of the myostatin gene are not found in this region, amplification of exon 1 is avoided in this study. The observed sequences of the exon 2 and exon 3 show no differences with the compared Bos taurus and Bubalus bubalis normal myostatin gene reference sequences. Consequently, no one of the animals with the observed phenotype has the mutation reported for double musculature in cattle.

Few data exist regarding this phenotype in buffaloes, in 2003, Mota et al. [15] reported two variations in the exon 3 (A940G y A942G), which lead to a substitution of histidine for arginine in the amino acid sequence at the C-terminal level of the protein, proposing the hypothesis that the mutation in the bioactive region of the gene could generate a decrease in the negative control of myostatin gene causing differentiated muscular development, as observed for other bovine breeds such as the Belgian Blue White and Piedmontese [6, 16, 17]. Later on, same researchers evaluating eight buffaloes with similar phenotypes but different breeds (Bhadawuri, Murrah y Jaffabaradi) don't found any difference in exon 2 and 3, as in our study.

One possible explanation from the results obtained herein is that the observed phenotype is not double muscling, but the congenital myotonia of the buffalo, previously known as congenital buffalo hyperplasia [18, 19], which is an autosomal recessive disease, reported in Murrah breed [19, 20], this could not be confirmed in this study. The clinical signs that characterize hereditary myotonia are muscle contractions in the body in general, which occur when animals are stimulated to come out of the resting state. The affected buffaloes have stiffness, slow movement, and effort; however, the stiffness is less visible after exercise, which indicates a warming phenomenon. The lesions are characterized by increasing the semitendinosus, semi-membrane muscle and skin area, making the adipose tissue smaller and thinner [18]. These characteristics, together with the muscle tremors, were observed in the animals of this study.

This condition is attributed to a mutation in the chloride channel gene (CLCN1), which causes a decrease in chloride conductance at the sarcolemma level, causing hyperexcitability of the muscle membrane, delaying muscle relaxation after contraction [20].

The myostatin gene is conserved between species, it has 100% of identity at the C-terminal region between mammals, as Mc Pherron and Lee demonstrated [17] in mice, rats, humans, pigs and cattle, suggesting that the high degree of conservation of the sequences of these animals leads to conserving the function of the gene. To date, in all vertebrates, it has been described that the genomic organization of the myostatin gene has three exons of similar size separated by two introns and whose size is conserved in birds and mammals. As confirmed by Tantia et al. [21], comparing the size of the exons as well as the introns of the Bos Indicus, Bos taurus, Bubalus bubalis, Ovis aries and Homo sapiens. The size of the exons was the same in all the species., but in the sequence of the introns of the Bubalus bubalis species, they are closer to that of the Bos taurus., the similarity is expected as buffalo and cattle belong to the same family, only different genus.

This paper contributes to the knowledge of hereditary disorders of buffaloes, the molecular analysis of the sequenced exons 2 and 3 of the myostatin gene in the observed buffaloes with increased muscle mass, none of the individuals in the study had a mutation described in cattle as double muscle. Next research must be performed if the observed phenotype is associated with congenital Myotonia of the buffalo.

In conclusion, the observed phenotype doesn't correspond to the reported mutations of myostatin gene of double-muscled phenotype; more research is needed to establish if the observed buffalo phenotype is the same at the physiological and histopathological level.
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